

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,480	10/05/2000	Yasuo Suzuki	197484US0	7558	
22850	7590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			DOTE, JANIS L		
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		1756			
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/679,480	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janis L. Dote	1756	

	Examiner	Artonit	
	Janis L. Dote	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later l
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	00000
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	adding of simplifying	ille issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see paragraph 1</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	` ''		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-7,10,11,15-17,20,24-26,29,33-35,3</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	88-45, and 47-53.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee the attached, paragraph2.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s). <u>5/30/06</u>	
13. Other:		JANIS L. DOT PRIMARY EXAM GROUP 1536	†
		170	0

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 1756

- 1. The proposed amendments to claims 1, 10, 20, and 29 set forth in the amendment filed on Aug. 8, 2006, after the mailing of the final rejection on May 8, 2006, remove the aluminum drum diameter of 30 mm limitation recited in independent claims 1, 10, 20, and 29. The removal of said limitation from the instant claims raises new issues because the claims at the time the final rejection was mailed required said aluminum drum diameter of 30 mm. The proposed amended claims are broader in the scope than the previously filed claims.
- 2. The examiner's refusal to enter the amendment filed on Aug. 8, 2006, after the mailing of the final rejection, renders applicants' arguments regarding said amendment moot.

 Furthermore, applicants' arguments regarding the prior art rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) set forth in the final rejections are not persuasive for the reasons discussed in the final rejection. See, for example, in particular, the paragraphs bridging pages 9 and 10 and pages 19-20, and paragraph 15.
- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 30, 2006, was filed after the mailing date of the Final rejection on May 8, 2006. The submission is in compliance with

Application/Control Number: 09/679,480 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.